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## 1 Introduction

Let $G=(V \cup T, E)$ be a simple graph with $V \cup T$ the set of vertices, where $T$ is a set of $k$ distinguished vertices called terminals, and $E$ the set of edges. A multi-terminal vertex separator in $G$ is a subset $S \subseteq V$ such that each path between two terminals intersects $S$. Given a weight function $w: V \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, the multi-terminal vertex separator problem (MTVSP) consists in finding a multi-terminal vertex separator of minimum weight. The MTVSP can be solved in polynomial time when $|T|=2$ and it is NP-hard when $|T| \geq 3$ [1] [3]. The MTVS has applications in different areas like VLSI design, linear algebra, connectivity problems and parallel algorithms. In this paper we consider the MTVSP from a polyhedral point of view, we describe several facet defining inequalities and propose a Branch-and-Cut algorithm for the problem. Then, we study a composition (decomposition) technique for the multiterminal vertex separator polytope in graphs that are decomposable by one-node cutsets. If $G$ decomposes into $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$, we show that the multi-terminal vertex polytope of $G$ can be described from two linear systems related to $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$. As consequence, we obtain a procedure to construct this polytope in graphs that are recursively decomposed. Finally, we propose an extended formulation and derive a Branch-and-Price algorithm.
A terminal path in $G=(V \cup T, E)$ is a path between two terminals. Let $\Gamma$ be the set of all the terminal paths in $G$. Let $x \in\{0,1\}^{V}$ such that for all $v \in V, x_{v}$ is equal to 1 if vertex $v$ belongs to the separator, 0 otherwise. The MTVSP is equivalent to the following ILP

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\min \sum_{v \in V} x_{v} & \\
\sum_{v \in P_{t t^{\prime}}} x_{v} \geq 1 & \forall P_{t t^{\prime}} \in \Gamma, \\
x_{v} \in\{0,1\} \quad & \forall v \in V . \tag{2}
\end{array}
$$

In what follows we discuss a polyhedral approach for the MTVSP.

## 2 Polyhedral approach

Let $P(G, T)$ be the convex hull of the solutions satisfying (1)-(2). We have that $P(G, T)$ is full dimensional and for all $v \in V$, inequality $x_{v} \leq 1$ defines a facet of $P(G, T)$. Moreover, we have the following results

## Theorem 1

1. For $v \in V$, inequality $x_{v} \geq 0$ defines facet of $P(G, T)$ if and only if, $v$ does not belong to a terminal path $P_{t t^{\prime}}$ containing exactly two internal vertices.
2. Inequality (1) associated with a terminal path $P_{t t^{\prime}}$ defines a facet of $P(G, T)$ if and only if $P_{t t^{\prime}}$ is minimal and no vertex $v \in V \backslash P_{t t^{\prime}}$ is adjacent to a terminal $t \in T \backslash\left\{t, t^{\prime}\right\}$ and to two vertices of $P_{t t^{\prime}}$.

Now we give further valid inequalities that may define facets for $P(G, T)$.
A star tree is a tree where the pending nodes are the terminal nodes of the tree, and all the other (nonterminal) nodes, different from the root node $v_{r}$ are of degree two.
Theorem 2 If $H_{q}=\left(V_{H_{q}} \cup T_{H_{q}}, E_{H_{q}}\right)$ is a star tree of $G$ with root $v_{r}$, then inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
x\left(V_{H_{q}} \backslash\left\{v_{r}\right\}\right)+(q-1) x_{v_{r}} \geq q-1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is valid for $P(G, T)$. Moreover it defines a facet for $P(G, T)$ under some conditions.
A terminal tree $R_{q}=\left(V_{R_{q}} \cup T_{R_{q}}, E_{R_{q}}\right)$, where $T_{R_{q}}$ is a set of $q$ terminals, is a tree, where $T_{R_{q}}$ is the set of leaves. Let $d_{R_{q}}(v)$ be the degree of $v$ in $R_{q}$.

Theorem 3 Given a terminal tree $R_{q}$, the following inequality is valid for $P(G, T)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{v \in R_{q}}\left(d_{R_{q}}(v)-1\right) x_{v} \geq q-1 . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

A terminal cycle $J_{q}=\left(C \cup T_{J_{q}}, E_{J_{q}}\right)$, where $T_{J_{q}}$ is a set of $q$ terminals $\left\{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{q}\right\}$, is a graph given by a cycle $C=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{q}\right\}$ of $q$ vertices and $q$ disjoint edges, $e_{t_{1}}, \ldots, e_{t_{q}}$ between the vertices of $C$ and the terminals of $T_{J_{q}}$.
Theorem 4 If $J_{q}=\left(C \cup T_{J_{q}}, E_{J_{q}}\right)$ is a terminal cycle of $G$, then the following inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(C) \geq\left\lceil\frac{q}{2}\right\rceil \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is valid for $P(G, T)$. Moreover, it defines a facet for $P(G, T)$ under some conditions.
Theorem 5 Inequalities (1) and star tree inequalities can be separated in polynomial time.

## 3 Composition of polyhedra

We study a composition (decomposition) technique for the multi-terminal vertex separator polytope in graphs that are decomposable by one-node cutsets. Given a graph $G=(V \cup T, E)$ and two subgraphs $G_{1}=\left(V_{1} \cup T_{1}, E_{1}\right)$ and $G_{2}=\left(V_{2} \cup T_{2}, E_{2}\right)$, graph $G$ is called a $1-s u m$ of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ if $V=V_{1} \cup V_{2}, T=T_{1} \cup T_{2},\left|T_{1} \cap T_{2}\right|=0, V_{1} \cap V_{2}=\{u\}$. Let $\widetilde{G}_{i}=\left(\widetilde{V}_{i} \cup \widetilde{T}_{i}, \widetilde{E}_{i}\right)$ be the graph obtained from $G_{i}$, for $i=1,2$, by adding a node $w_{i}$, a terminal $q_{i}$ and the edges $q_{i} w_{i}, w_{i} u$.


Theorem 6 The linear inequalities describing $P\left(\widetilde{G}_{i}, \widetilde{T}_{i}\right)$ can be partitioned as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{v \in V_{i} \backslash\{u\}} a_{j}^{i}(v) x(v) & \geq \alpha_{j}^{i} & & \forall j \in I^{i}  \tag{6}\\
\sum_{v \in V_{i} \backslash\{u\}} a_{j}^{\prime i}(v) x(v)+x(u) & \geq \alpha_{j}^{\prime i} & & \forall j \in I^{\prime i}  \tag{7}\\
\sum_{v \in V_{i}} b_{j}^{i}(v) x(v)+x\left(w_{i}\right) & \geq \beta_{j}^{i} & & \forall j \in J^{i}  \tag{8}\\
x(v) & \leq 1 & & \forall v \in \widetilde{V}^{i}  \tag{9}\\
x(v) & \geq 0 & & \forall v \in \widetilde{V}^{i} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 7 The linear inequalities describing $P(G, T)$ are as follow

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\sum_{v \in V_{i} \backslash\{u\}} a_{j}^{1}(v) x(v) & \geq \alpha_{j}^{1} & \forall j \in I^{1} \\
\sum_{v \in V_{1} \backslash\{u\}} a_{j}^{\prime 1}(v) x(v)+x(u) & \geq \alpha_{j}^{\prime 1} & & \forall j \in I^{\prime 1} \\
\sum_{v \in V_{i} \backslash\{u\}} a_{j}^{2}(v) x(v) & \geq \alpha_{j}^{2} & \forall j \in I^{2} \\
\sum_{v \in V_{2} \backslash\{u\}} a_{j}^{\prime 2}(v) x(v)+x(u) & \geq \alpha_{j}^{\prime 2} & \forall j \in I^{\prime 2} \\
\sum_{p=1}^{2} \sum_{v \in V_{i}} b_{j_{p}}^{i}(v) x(v)-x(u) & \geq \sum_{p=1}^{2} \beta_{j_{p}}^{i}-1 & \forall j_{1} \in J^{1}, \forall j_{2} \in J^{2} \\
x(v) & \leq 1 & \forall v \in V \\
x(v) & \geq 0 & \forall v \in V
\end{array}
$$

Inequalities (11) and (13) represent inequalities (6) and inequalities (12) and (14) represent inequalities (7). Inequalities (15) are called the mixed inequalities.

A linear system $A x \leq b$ is total dual integral if for all $c \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$, the problem $\left\{\max c^{\top} x: A x \leq\right.$ $b\}$ has a feasible solution and there is an integer optimal dual solution.

Theorem 8 For any star tree, the linear system given by (1), (3) and trivial inequalities is total dual integral.

Corollary 3.1 From Theorems 7 and 8, for any terminal tree, the polytope given by (1), (4) and trivial inequalities is integral.

## 4 Extended formulation

In this section, we introduce an extended formulation for the MTVSP and develop a Branch-and-Price algorithm to solve it. For a terminal $t \in T$, a isolating-separator $S^{t} \subseteq V$ of $G$ is a set of vertices that intersects all paths between $t$ and terminals of $T \backslash\{t\}$. For a terminal $t \in T$, let $\mathcal{S}^{t}$ be the set of all isolating-separators in $G$ associated with $t$. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the set of all isolating-separators in $G$. Let $x \in\{0,1\}^{\mathcal{S}}$ and $y \in\{0,1\}^{V}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x^{S}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \text { if isolating-separator } S \text { is selected, } & \text { for all } S \in \mathcal{S} \\
0 & \text { otherwise. }
\end{array}\right. \\
& y_{v}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \text { if } v \in V \text { belongs to the separator, } \\
0 & \text { otherwise. }
\end{array} \text { for all } v \in V\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Each $S \in \mathcal{S}$ is defined by the vectors $a^{S} \in\{0,1\}^{V \cup T}, \bar{a}^{S} \in\{0,1\}^{V}$ and $b^{S} \in\{0,1\}^{T}$, as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{v, t}^{S}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \text { if } v \text { belongs to } S \text { and } S \in \mathcal{S}^{t}, \\
0 & \text { otherwise. }
\end{array} \text { for all } v \in V, t \in T\right. \\
& \bar{a}_{v}^{S}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \text { if } v \text { belongs to } S, \\
0 & \text { otherwise. }
\end{array} \text { for all } v \in V\right. \\
& b_{t}^{S}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \text { if } S \in \mathcal{S}^{t}, \\
0 & \text { otherwise. }
\end{array} \text { for all } t \in T\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

The MTVSP is equivalent to the following integer linear formulation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \min \sum_{v \in V} y_{v}  \tag{18}\\
& y_{v}-\sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} a_{v, t}^{S} x^{S} \geq 0 \quad \forall t \in T, \quad \forall v \in V,  \tag{19}\\
& -y_{v}+\sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \bar{a}_{v}^{S} x^{S} \geq 0 \quad \forall v \in V,  \tag{20}\\
& \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}^{t}} b_{t}^{S} x^{S}=1 \quad \forall t \in T,  \tag{21}\\
& x^{S} \geq 0 \quad \forall S \in \mathcal{S},  \tag{22}\\
& y_{v} \in\{0,1\} \quad \forall v \in V . \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

The pricing problem aims at generating an isolating-separator $S^{t^{*}}$ associated with the terminal $t^{*} \in T$. In the following Table, $D$ and $R$ represent the Dimacs and random instances, respectively. The Columns, Cols and No represent, the number of variables generated and the number of nodes in the branching tree, respectively.

|  | Instance |  |  | Branch-and-Price algorithm |  |  |  | Branch-and-Cut algorithm |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $n$ | $m$ | $\|T\|$ | Cols | No | Gap | CPU | (1) | (3) | (4) | (5) | No | Gap | CPU |
| D | 74 | 624 | 6 | 308 | 34 | 0.24 | 0.22 | 64 | 51 | 5 | 1 | 23 | 19.20 | 3.39 |
| D | 87 | 835 | 6 | 419 | 31 | 0.40 | 0.31 | 31 | 147 | 26 | 3 | 26 | 28.50 | 8.58 |
| D | 95 | 778 | 6 | 475 | 25 | 0.57 | 0.24 | 35 | 38 | 26 | 15 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.26 |
| D | 100 | 2967 | 8 | 871 | 33 | 0.63 | 1.00 | 56 | 31 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.55 |
| D | 128 | 804 | 8 | 4791 | 63 | 0.39 | 23.24 | 103 | 127 | 4 | 2 | 29 | 28.10 | 5.75 |
| D | 128 | 10426 | 8 | 863 | 35 | 0.60 | 3.38 | 31 | 22 | 11 | 8 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.31 |
| D | 144 | 5224 | 8 | 3995 | 35 | 0.56 | 25.41 | 85 | 20 | 9 | 9 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.44 |
| D | 188 | 3920 | 8 | 904 | 41 | 0.60 | 1.53 | 116 | 63 | 2 | 13 | 1 | 0.00 | 2.69 |
| D | 196 | 8399 | 8 | 1801 | 37 | 0.70 | 5.39 | 56 | 19 | 12 | 11 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.24 |
| D | 197 | 3952 | 8 | 606 | 27 | 0.64 | 0.72 | 71 | 11 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.58 |
| D | 256 | 12674 | 8 | 4888 | 43 | 0.65 | 43.69 | 88 | 27 | 5 | 11 | 1 | 0.00 | 2.10 |
| R | 50 | 513 | 7 | 552 | 27 | 0.64 | 0.46 | 48 | 33 | 31 | 6 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.24 |
| R | 70 | 993 | 7 | 678 | 29 | 0.65 | 0.80 | 44 | 18 | 19 | 4 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.64 |
| R | 100 | 1986 | 7 | 561 | 27 | 0.64 | 0.61 | 45 | 13 | 12 | 5 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.02 |
| R | 300 | 17793 | 7 | 816 | 29 | 0.65 | 4.42 | 67 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 0.00 | 2.31 |
| R | 600 | 70674 | 7 | 6624 | 29 | 0.65 | 285.23 | 23 | 10 | 9 | 5 | 1 | 0.00 | 4.04 |
| R | 700 | 96436 | 7 | 1381 | 27 | 0.64 | 48.41 | 48 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0.00 | 3.96 |

TAB. 1: Results associated with the Branch-and-Cut and the Branch-and-Price algorithms.
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